

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,100	KEATING, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) brian M. Berliner.

Status of Application: Non- final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 August 2006

Time: 12:15PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) and 102(e)

Claims discussed:

1 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,348,726, US 7,042,071, and US 7,042,071


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to discuss language to overcome the above US references. The attorney informed the examiner that he preferred to see a rejection and would not be able to discuss the application in time designated by the examiner. Therefore, a non-final rejection is forthcoming..